BMDO RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2 Exhibit)											February 1999		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Demonstration and Validation PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603870C Boost Phase Intercept - D/V								V	PROJECT 1294				
COST (In Thousands)	FY 1998 Actual	FY 1999 Estimate	FY 200 Estimat	-		FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost		
1294 UAV Boost Phase Interceptor	13994	6426		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV)- Boost Phase Intercept (BPI) project covers two tasks; Task 1 Israeli Boost Phase Intercept System (IBIS) Risk Mitigation, and Task 2: cooperative UAV-Based BPI Concepts. Task 1 is a cooperative U.S./Government of Israel (GOI) BPI program which involves development and refinement (risk mitigation) of the UAV based BPI concept which destroys tactical ballistic missiles in the boost phase of flight, before engine cutoff, preferably while in enemy territory. This project is based on the use of UAVs armed with onboard interceptors to provide the means of destroying enemy missiles in their boosting phase of flight. Task 1 efforts are performed in Israel and focus on key elements of the Israeli Boost Phase Intercept System (IBIS) concept. Task 2 of this cooperative effort is performed in the U.S. and will support and expand key elements of the IBIS concept. It includes developing the UAV-based BPI system requirements for scenarios of operation and employment in support of U.S. expeditionary forces. The requirements will address development of search and track sensors, Battle Management, Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence (BMC4I) and a Concept of Operations (CONOPS) based on readily available U.S. technologies. Task 2 will leverage Service capabilities by addressing issues outlined in the Technical Operations (TO) Technology Master Plan (TMP).

Along with attack operations, the BPI concept is a means of destroying hostile ballistic missiles over enemy territory. UAVs armed with interceptors show significant near term promise. Previous cooperative investigations of the UAV-based BPI concept and the recent Air Force Airborne Laser (ABL) analysis of Alternatives (AoA) study (May 97) concluded that such a BPI system could be very cost effective and complementary to terminal missile defense systems.

This program is a "hedge" risk mitigation effort for the ABL program and can provide complementary support to ABL. The program uses cooperative activities in the U.S. and Israel to mitigate risk of developing UAV-based BPI systems. The GOI is lead on risk mitigation of the platform (HA 10) and interceptor while the U.S. is lead on the Infrared Search and Track (IRST) activities. The Battle Management and Control (BMC) and system engineering and integration responsibilities are shared. The U.S. and GOI will share costs on a 75/25% ratio for Task 1.

Task 2 is being accomplished by BMDO/Service Integrated Product Teams (IPT) with additional support provided by Industry.

FY 1998 Accomplishments:

- 3950 Initiated Infrared Search and Track (IRST) contract (April 98)
- 7663 Refined IBIS interceptor design; completed Interim Progress Review (IPR) 3 of Israeli Risk Mitigation Contract
- 2381 Provided UAV BPI inputs to the Technology Master (Roadmap) Plan. Performed "Quick Look" Survivability analysis of the IBIS HA 10, and IBIS Systems Engineering.

Total 13994

Project 1294 Page 1 of 5 Pages Exhibit R-2 (PE 0603870C)

DGET ACTIVITY - Demonstration and Validation Y 1999 Planned Program: 3700 The Israel Risk Mitigation effort will empha attacking the launcher within the mission particle 2426 Concentrate on engine modification for the of Israeli concepts; analyze system survivable 300 Complete development and start flight testing of 300 Planned Program: Y 2000 Planned Program: Y 2001 Planned Program:	arameters. FJ44-2E engine ility and analyz	tegration; MC e; leverage serve attack of lar	OAB II and ervice technicher c	nalysis; furth	ner developr elop areas o	nent of IRS	V T algorithms;	129; and evaluation	94 on of
The Israel Risk Mitigation effort will emphasitatacking the launcher within the mission particle and the concentrate on engine modification for the of Israeli concepts; analyze system survivable and start flight testing the launcher within the mission particle and in the concepts; analyze system survivable and start flight testing the launcher within the mission particle and in the concepts; analyze system survivable and start flight testing the launcher within the mission particle and the concepts; analyze system survivable and start flight testing the launcher within the mission particle and the concepts; analyze system survivable and start flight testing the launcher within the mission particle and the concepts; analyze system survivable and the concepts and	arameters. FJ44-2E engine ility and analyz	tegration; MC e; leverage serve attack of lar	OAB II and ervice techniques	nalysis; furth	ner developr elop areas o	nent of IRS	T algorithms;	; and evaluatio	on of
The Israel Risk Mitigation effort will emphasize attacking the launcher within the mission parattacking the launcher within the launcher within the mission parattacking the launcher within the launcher within the mission parattacking the launcher within the launcher	arameters. FJ44-2E engine ility and analyz	e; leverage ser ze attack of lar	ervice tec	chnology dev	elop areas o	f value to n	_		
attacking the launcher within the mission pa 2426 Concentrate on engine modification for the of Israeli concepts; analyze system survivabi 300 Complete development and start flight testin fotal 6426 Y 2000 Planned Program: Total 0 Y 2001 Planned Program:	arameters. FJ44-2E engine ility and analyz	e; leverage ser ze attack of lar	ervice tec	chnology dev	elop areas o	f value to n	_		
2426 Concentrate on engine modification for the of Israeli concepts; analyze system survivable 300 Complete development and start flight testing 6426 Y 2000 Planned Program: Otal 0 Y 2001 Planned Program:	FJ44-2E engine ility and analyz	ze attack of lar	uncher c				nission area; c	complete evalı	uation
300 Complete development and start flight testing total 6426 Y 2000 Planned Program: Total 0 Y 2001 Planned Program:				concepts for I	sraeli systei	n.			
Total 6426 Y 2000 Planned Program: Total 0 Y 2001 Planned Program:	ng of the IKS 1/1	ELRF system	1.						
Y 2000 Planned Program: Otal 0 Y 2001 Planned Program:									
Total 0 Y 2001 Planned Program:									
Y 2001 Planned Program:									
Y 2001 Planned Program:									
3. Program Change Summary	FY 1998	FY 19	999	FY 2000	FY 20	001			
Previous President's Budget (FY 1999 PB)	15766	111)	0	0	112	0			
Congressional Adjustments		65	500						
Appropriated Value		65	500						
Adjustments to Appropriated Value									
Congressional Reductions (FFRDC, Inflation, etc)			-35						
OSD Reductions		-	-39						
Emergency Supplemental djustments to Budget Years Since FY 1999 PB			-+-						
Current Budget Submit (FY 2000 / 2001 PB)	13994	64	126	0		0			
1 D)	13//7	1 94		0					
nange Summary Explanation:									
C. Other Program Funding Summary FY 1998	FY 1999 I	FY 2000 F	Y 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	То	To
								Compl	<u>C</u>
								0	9

DATE **BMDO RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2 Exhibit)** February 1999 PE NUMBER AND TITLE BUDGET ACTIVITY PROJECT 4 - Demonstration and Validation 0603870C Boost Phase Intercept - D/V 1294 D. Acquisition Strategy: E. Schedule Profile FY 1996 FY 1997 FY 1998 FY 1999 FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 IBIS risk Mitigation Contract (HQ 0006-97-4Q C0010) IRST contract (Raytheon) 3Q Project 1294 Page 3 of 5 Pages Exhibit R-2 (PE 0603870C)

BMDO RDT&E COST ANALYSIS (R-3)										DATE February 1999			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Demonstration ar		UMBER ANI 03870C	- D/V			PROJECT 1294							
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 1999 Cost	FY 1999 Award Date	FY 2000 Cost	FY 2000 Award Date	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
a. Israeli MOD	FFP	Israel	25703	3700						TBD	29403		
b. ONR/NAWC-CL	MIPR	Texas,CA, Michigan	7510	300						TBD	7810		
c. Misc Services	MIPR	Various		1226						TBD	1226		
d.													
e.													
Subtotal Product Development:			33213	5226							38439		
Remark:		1											
II. Support Costs	Contract	Performing Activity &	Total	FY 1999	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2001	Cost To	Total	Target	
	Method & Type	Location	PYs Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Complete	Cost	Value of Contract	
a. ANSER	CPFF	Washington D.C.	1456	1200						TBD	2656		
b.													
c.													
Subtotal Support Costs:			1456	1200							2656		
Remark:													
III. Test and Evaluation	Contract	Performing Activity &	Total	FY 1999	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2001	Cost To	Total	Target	
	Method &	Location	PYs Cost	Cost	Award	Cost	Award	Cost	Award	Complete	Cost	Value of	
	Type				Date		Date		Date	1		Contract	
a. Test Resources	MIPR	USAF/WL/M	80	0						TBD	80		
b.													
c.													
Subtotal Test and Evaluation:			80								80		
Remark:		•								1			
Project 1294	Page 4 of	ge 4 of 5 Pages Exhib						3870C)					

BMDO RDT&E COST ANALYSIS (R-3)										February 1999			
BUDGET ACTIVITY				P	E NUMBER A	ND TITLE			-		PR	OJECT	
4 - Demonstration and Validation					06038700	Boost	Phase li	- D/V		1294			
IV. Management Services	Contract Method &	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 19	999 <u>FY 199</u> Cost Awar			FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of	
	Type				Dat		Date		Date	1		Contract	
a. N/A													
b.													
c.													
Subtotal Management Services:													
Remarks:					·	·	•						
Project Total Cost:			34749	64	126						41175		
Project 1294				Page	5 of 5 Pages				Exhibit R	-3 (PE 060	3870C)		